



UNITED STATES FATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/493,423	01/29/2000	Charles Christopher Negus	LE-199J	2221
75	90 02/11/2004		EXAM	INER
Kirk Teska			FARAH, AHMED M	
Iandiorio & Teska 260 Bear Hill Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Waltham, MA 02451-1018			3739	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

09/493,423

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit
Ahmed M. Farah 3739

Negus et al.

Office Action Summary

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In remailing date of this communication.				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 28, 20				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti	on is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) bojected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:				
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachment(s)	priority and a district of the district that			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Prior to issuing this Office Action, the Examiner has attempted to reach the applicant's representative, Jason D. Shanske, to discuss the pending claims in view of the prior art of record as agreed upon prior to the filing of the RCE. Nevertheless, the examiner could not reach the applicant's representative. Hence, although the Examiner maintains his prior art rejections, this application is not made final. This is a courtesy in order to give the applicant an opportunity to discuss with the examiner the limitations of the pending claims vs the teaching of the prior art of record.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Art Unit: 3739

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 and 3 are again rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,030,377. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to analogous apparatus and methods of use for marking and delivering ablative energy to percutaneous myocardial revascularization channels in the heart wall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 3739

4. Claims 1 and 3 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linhares et al. U.S. Patent 6,030,377.

Linhares et al. disclose a percutaneous transmyocardial revascularizations catheter system

12 and method of use, the catheter system 12 comprising:

a treatment catheter 14 having a proximal end connected with a source of tissue ablative energy 24 and a distal tip for applying the ablative energy to the heart wall to create channels (see Fig. 1); and

a channel marking and drug delivery catheter [subsystem] 16 connected to a source of therapeutic or diagnostic agent (see Fig. 15), the catheter subsystem 16 having a distal end proximate the distal end of the treatment catheter 14 for applying an imaging and/or therapeutic agent in or proximate the channels.

5. Claims 1-3 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swanson U.S. Patent 6,023,638.

Swanson discloses systems and methods for diagnosing and treating tissue, for example, percutaneous myocardial revascularization (Col. 42, lines 3-8), the systems comprising:

a treatment catheter 312 having a proximal end connected with a source of tissue ablative energy 378 and a distal tip for applying the ablative energy to the heart wall to create channels (see Figs. 38 and 39); and

Art Unit: 3739

channel marking and drug delivery catheter [subsystems] **314**, **316**, connected to an imaging medium source and a source of therapeutic or diagnostic agent for applying the imaging and/or therapeutic agent in or proximate the channel (Col. 13, lines 9-20).

As to claim 2, the marking and drug delivery catheter subsystems of Swanson include at least two separate catheters 314 and 316 for applying the imaging medium and therapeutic or diagnostic agent in or proximate the channel (Fig. 39 and Col. 13, lines 11-12).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on January 28, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant makes the following arguments:

A. As to the double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 3, the applicant argues that the catheter 16 of Linhares (U.S. Patent No. 6,023,638) "is not configured to deliver therapeutic or diagnostic agents and no therapeutic or diagnostic agents are discloses in Linhares." He further argues that the catheter subsystem of Linhares "is only a marking catheter connected only to dye syringe."

In response to this argument, the catheter subsystem 16 of Linhares, which is connected only to marking agent, delivers an imaging dye to the treatment site so as to mark transmyocardial revascularization channels (see the abstract and Col. 4, line 16). In this Office Action (OA), the imaging dye of Linhares is treated as a marking and/or diagnostic agent.

Therefore Linhares clearly provides marking/diagnostic agent to the treatment site as presently

Application/Control Number: 09/493,423

Art Unit: 3739

claimed. Furthermore, the catheter subsystem 16 of Linhares would also provide therapeutic agent to treatment site since there is not structural limitation that would prevent the delivery of the therapeutic agent.

Page 6

B. As to U.S. Patent No. 6,023,638 to Swanson, the applicant argues that "there is no disclosure, teaching or suggestion that instruments 314 and 316 have distal end proximate the distal end of the treatment catheter" as claimed by the applicant.

In response to this argument, Fig 38 of Swanson clearly shows that elements 314 and 316 are shorter than the treatment catheter 318. Therefore, elements 314 and 316 have distal ends that are proximate to the distal end of the treatment catheter 318 as presently claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Farah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5787. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak, can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The official fax number for the group is (703) 872-9302; the fax number for After Final is (703) 872-9303; and the Examiner's Desk-top fax is (703) 746-3368.

A. M. Farah

Patent Examiner (Art Unit 3739)

February 9/2004.